	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/ Comments
1	Alsharairi & Somerset	2015	Ecology of Food and Nutrition	Longitudinal survey	4,310	Australian children aged 4 to 9	Authoritarian parenting style	F&V intake	-	
							Maternal demandingness	F&V intake	-	
							Paternal Responsiveness	F&V intake	+	
							Permissive father	F&V intake	+ (later)	
							Authoritative fathers	F&V intake	+ (later)	
							Authoritative mothers	F&V intake	+ (later; girls)	
2	Amuta et al	2015	Food and	Cross-sectional	298	Predominantly mothers	Restrictive	F&V intake	0	
			Exercise in Rural Areas	survey		living in rural areas in the US, elementary school children, child age not available	guidance (during mealtime)			
3	Arredondo et al	2006	Health	Cross-sectional	812	Predominantly Latino	Reward (with	Healthy eating	+	Gender moderated some of
			Education Research	survey		mothers in the US, with child mean age of 6 (SD =	praise) Pressure to eat	Unhealthy eating Healthy eating	0	the effects
			Research			0.94)	Restrictive	Unhealthy eating	+	
						,	Guidance	Healthy eating	0	
								Unhealthy eating	0	
4	Bante et al	2008	Journal of	Cross-sectional	1,555	US parents with child aged	Reward	F&V preference	-	
			Nutrition	survey		2 to 5 living in rural areas		F&V intake	+	
			Education and Behavior				Pressure	F&V preference F&V intake	-	
5	Berge et al	2010	Journal of	Longitudinal survey	2,516	US children with a mean	Permissive Father	F&V intake	+ (later;	
3	Berge et al	2010	Adolescent Health	Zongitudinar survey	2,310	age of 12.8 ($SD = 0.8$) at beginning of study	remissive runer	rec v marke	girls)	
6	Boots et al	2015	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	611	Australian parents of children aged 2 to 7 years	(Control of) availability	Healthy snack intake	+	
				•		old	j	Unhealthy snack intake	-	
							Restrictive guidance	Healthy snack intake	-	
							guidance	Unhealthy snack intake	+	
							Demandingness	Healthy snack intake	+	
								Unhealthy snack	-	
							Responsiveness	intake Healthy snack	0	
								intake Unhealthy snack intake	+	

Table S1.

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/ Comments
7	Brown et al	2008	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	518	English parents with children aged 4 to 7	Restrictive guidance	Healthy snack intake	0	
				survey		children aged 4 to 7	guidance	Unhealthy snack	0	
								intake	Ü	
								F&V intake	+	
							(Control of)	Healthy snack	0	
							availability	intake	· ·	
								Unhealthy snack	_	
								intake		
								F&V intake	+	
							Pressure to eat	Healthy snack	0	
								intake		
								Unhealthy snack	+	
								intake		
								F&V intake	-	
8	Brown & Ogden	2004	Health Education Research	Cross-sectional survey	112	British parent-child matched dyads with	Modeling	Healthy snack intake	+	
	J			·		children aged 9 to 13		Unhealthy snack intake	+	
9	Brug et al	2008	British Journal of	Cross-sectional	13,305	European children aged 8	Availability	F&V intake	+	
			Nutrition	survey		to 14				
10	Campbell et al	2006	International	Cross-sectional	560	Australian parents with	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
			Journal of Obesity	survey		children aged 5 to 6		Snack intake	0	
								High-energy	0	
								drink intake		
							Pressure to eat	F&V intake	0	
								Snack intake	+	
								High-energy	+	
								drink intake		
							Restrictive	F&V intake	0	
							guidance	Snack intake	0	
								High-energy drink intake	0	
11	Cooke et al	2011	Psychological	Pre-test-post-test	422	British children aged 4 to	Reward	Vegetable liking	+	
			Science	experimental design		6		Vegetable intake	+	
							Reward (with	Vegetable liking	+	
				3 treatment groups			praise)	Vegetable intake	+	
				(exposure of food + non-food reward, exposure of food + social reward, exposure alone), 1 control group			plusey	regettere intake	·	
12	Cooke et al	2004	Public Health Nutrition	Cross-sectional survey	564	British parents of children aged 2 to 6	Modeling	F&V intake	+	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/ Comments
13	Birch et al	1984	Child Development	3 (reward, praise, control) x 2 (single portion, double portion) experimental design	45	US children aged 3 to 5	Reward Reward (with praise)	Milk beverage preference Milk beverage preference	-	
14	Birch et al	1980	Child Development	4 (Food as reward, Food given without condition, food given in non-social context, food given during snack time) conditions over 4 time points	64	US children aged 3 to 6	Food as reward	Snack preference	+	
15	Campbell et al	2007	Obesity	Cross-sectional survey	347	Predominantly Australian mothers with children aged 12 to 13 years old	Modeling	High-energy drink intake Sweet snack intake	+ 0	
							Pressure to eat	Savory snack intake High-energy drink intake	+ (boys) 0	
								Sweet snack intake	+ (boys)	
							Reward (with praise)	Savory snack intake	+	
							Authoritarian parenting style	High-energy drink intake	+ (boys)	
								Sweet snack intake	0	
							Availability	High-energy drink intake	+ (girls)	
								Sweet snack intake Savory snack	+ (girls) +	
16	Corsini et al	2013	Public Health Nutrition	Pre-test-post-test experimental design	185	British children aged 4 to 6	Reward	Vegetable liking Vegetable intake	+++	
				2 treatment groups (exposure of food + reward, Exposure only), 1 control group						
17	Cutler et al	2011	Journal of the American Dietetic Association	Longitudinal survey	4,746	US children aged 12 to 16	Availability	F&V intake Snack intake	+++	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
18	Couch et al	2014	Journal of the	Cross-sectional	699	US parent-child dyads with	Modeling (2/5	F&V intake	+	Wediators/Comments
10	Coucii et ai	2014	Academy of	survey	099	children aged 6 to 11	items)	High-calorie	0	
			Nutrition and	survey		cilidren aged 0 to 11	itens)	beverages	U	
			Dietetics					Snacks	0	
			Dictotics				Restrictive	F&V intake	0	
							guidance	High-calorie	0	
							8	beverages	*	
								Snacks	0	
							Pressure to eat	F&V intake	0	
								High-calorie	0	
								beverages		
								Snacks	0	
							Availability	F&V intake	0	
							•	High-calorie	0	
								beverages		
								Snacks	+	
19	Cullen et al	2001	Health Education	Cross-sectional	230	US children aged 9 to 12	Availability	F&V intake	+	
			Research	survey			Modeling	F&V and juice	+	
				.				intake		
20	De Bourdeaudhuij	2005	Public Health	Cross-sectional	326	European children aged 10	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	
20	et al	2003	Nutrition	survey	320	to 11	Wodening	Vegetables intake	T	
	Ct ai		Nutrition	survey		10 11	Restrictive	Fruits intake	+	
							guidance	Vegetables intake	+	
							guidance	Ü		
21	De Bourdeaudhuij et al	2009	Public Health Nutrition	Cross-sectional survey	4,555	European children aged 11	Parenting styles	F&V intake	0	Parenting styles moderated the impact of encouragement and restrictive guidance on fruit consumption and the impact of availability on fruits and vegetables
22	De Bourdeaudhuij	2008	European Journal	Cross-sectional	13,305	European children aged 11	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	Some differences across
	et al		of Clinical	survey				Vegetables intake	+	countries
			Nutrition				Availability	Fruits intake	0	
								Vegetables intake	+	
23	de Bruijn et al	2007	Health Education	Longitudinal	208	Dutch adolescent girls	Restrictive	SSB intake	-	Girls with moderate levels of
			Research	survey		between 12 and 18	guidance	SSB subjective	+	agreeableness saw the most
								norms (to limit)		pronounced restrictive
								SSB attitudes	0	guidance effect on SSB
										consumption

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
24	Dickens &	2014	Appetite	Longitudinal	93	British parent-child dyads	Modeling	Unhealthy	+	Note: children were living
	Ogden			survey		with children aged 17 and		snacks	0	alone at follow-up
				•		18 at first wave		Unhealthy meals	0	•
						(predominantly mothers)		Healthy foods		
						4 ,		consumption	0	
							Restrictive	Unhealthy		
							guidance	snacks	0	
							Burdanee	Unhealthy meals	0	
								Healthy foods	Ü	
								consumption	0	
							A !1-1-!!!4		U	
							Availability	Unhealthy		
								snacks	0	
								Unhealthy meals	0	
								Healthy foods		
								consumption	0	
							Pressure to eat	Unhealthy		
								snacks	0	
								Unhealthy meals	0	
								Healthy foods		
								consumption		
								consumption		
25	Durao et al	2015	Appetite	Cross-sectional	4,122	Portuguese parents of	Restrictive	F&V intake	+	
				survey		children aged 4	guidance	(adequacy)		
								Snacks (over	-	
								recommended)		
							(Control of)	F&V intake	+	
							Availability	(adequacy		
								Snacks (over	_	
								recommended)		
							Pressure to eat	F&V intake	+	
							r ressure to cat	(adequacy)		
								Snacks (over	+	
								recommended)		
26	Eisenberg et al	2012	Journal of Nutrition	Cross-sectional	541	US parents of children	Restrictive	Total fat	+	
	· ·		Education and	survey		aged 5 to 8	guidance and	consumption		
			Behavior	•			pressure to eat	•		
							<u>r</u>			
27	Elfhag et al	2008	Public Health	Cross-sectional	1441	Swedish parent-child	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
			Nutrition	survey		dyads with children aged		SSB intake	+	
						12				
28	Fisher & Birch	2000	Journal of the	Quasi-	197	US parent-child dyads	Restrictive	Snacks intake	+	
			American Dietetic	experimental		with girls aged 4 to 6	guidance and			
			Association	1			availability			
29	Fisher & Birch	1999	Appetite	Quasi-	71	US parent-child dyads	Restrictive	Snacks intake	+ (for girls)	
				experimental		with children aged 3 to 5	guidance and		0 (for boys)	
				-			availability			
20	Fi-1 9 D: 1	1000	A 1	0	40	TIC	D - statistics	Consider intelle		
30	Fisher & Birch	1999	American Journal	Quasi-	40	US parent-child dyads	Restrictive	Snacks intake	+	
			of Clinical	experimental		with children aged 3 to 6	guidance and			
			Nutrition				availability			

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
31	Fisher et al	2002	Journal of the American Dietetic Association	Longitudinal survey	191	US parent-child dyads with girls aged 5	Pressure to eat Modeling	F&V intake F&V intake	- +	
32	Gevers et al	2015	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	329	Dutch children aged 11 to 15	Restrictive guidance	Snack intake	-	
33	Gibson et al	1998	Appetite	Cross-sectional	92	British mother-child	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	
				survey		dyads with children aged 9 to 11		Vegetables intake	0	
						, , , , ,		Confectionary intake	0	
34	Entin et al	2014	Journal of the	Longitudinal	63	Israeli parent-child dyads	Availability	Vegetable intake	0	
			American College	survey		with children aged 5 to 6;		Fruits intake	0	
			of Nutrition			predominantly low SES		Sweets & junk food intake	+	
							Modeling	Vegetable intake	+	
							Wodeling	Fruits intake	0	
								Sweets & junk	0	
								food intake		
							Food as reward	Vegetable intake	0	
								Fruits intake	0	
								Sweets & junk	+ (junk only)	
							D	food intake	0	
							Pressure to eat	Vegetable intake Fruits intake	0	
								Sweets & junk	+	
								food intake	'	
							Restrictive	Vegetable intake	0	
							guidance	Fruits intake	0	
								Sweets & junk	+	
								food intake		
35	Goldman et al	2012	Journal of Public	Cross-sectional	229	US parent-child dyads	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
			Health Research	survey		with children aged 2 to 5	Availability	F&V intake	+	
							Accessibility	F&V intake	0	
36	Gross et al	2010	Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior	Cross-sectional survey	93	US parent-child dyads predominantly mothers, with children aged 9 to 11	Modeling	F&V intake	0	
37	Gubbels et al	2009	Appetite	Cross-sectional	2,578	Dutch mothers with	Restrictive	Snacks, sweets	-	
				survey		children aged 2	guidance	and soft drinks F&V intake	+	
								1 X V IIIIAKE	+	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
38	Gregory et al	2011	Appetite	Longitudinal	60	Australian mothers of	Restrictive	Fruit	0	Results are controlled for
				survey		children aged 2 to 4	guidance	consumption		consumption at first wave
				•		_	-	Vegetables	0	of study; correlational
								consumption		analysis at baseline
								Sweets	0	revealed greater number
								consumption		significant findings
							Pressure to eat	Fruit	-	
								consumption		
								Vegetables	-	
								consumption		
								Sweets	0	
								consumption		
							Modeling	Fruit	0	
								consumption		
								Vegetables	+	
								consumption		
								Sweets	0	
								consumption		
							Availability	Fruit	0	
								consumption		
								Vegetables	0	
								consumption		
								Sweets	0	
								consumption		
39	Harris &	2015	Appetite	Cross-sectional	102	US African American	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
	Ramsey			survey		fathers with children aged		SSBs intake	+	
						3 to 13	Availability of	F&V intake	0	
							healthy food	SSBs intake	-	
40	Hendy et al	2009	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	1499	US mothers with children aged 6 to 11	Restrictive guidance	Snacks intake	0	
						_	Availability of fruits and veg	F&V intake	+	
							Reward	Snacks intake	0	
							110 11 111 11	F&V intake	0	
							Pressure to eat	Snacks intake	0	
							1.055tile to cat	F&V intake	0	
							Modeling (of	Snacks intake	+	
							snacks)			

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
41	Hennessy et al	2012	Journal of the American Dietetic Association	Cross-sectional survey	99	US parent-child dyads with children aged 6 to 11 living in rural areas;	Authoritarian parental feeding style	Low-nutrient- dense foods intake (LNDF)	0	Moderating effect of feeding styles on restrictive guidance was found;
						predominantly mothers	Uninvolved parental feeding style	LNDF intake	0	specifically, restrictive guidance led to lower intake of LNDF among
							Permissive parental feeding	LNDF intake	+	unpermissive parents
							style Restrictive guidance	LNDF intake	0	Other moderating effects of parental feeding styles were not found
							Pressure to eat	LNDF intake	0	
42	Hoerr et al	2009	International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity	Cross-sectional survey	715	US parent-child dyads with children aged 3 to 5; predominantly mothers, and low-income households	Authoritarian parental feeding styles	Fruits, juice and vegetables intake	-	In comparison to permissive parental <i>feeding</i> styles
43	Jansen et al	2007	Appetite	Pre-test-post-test experimental	74	European children aged 5 to 6	Restrictive guidance (of	Snacks desire	+	Children with parents of low restrictive guidance
				design		10 0	experimenter)	Snacks intake	+ (larger proportion	and high restrictive guidance consumed more
				2 (prohibition/no prohibition)x2 (phase1/phase2)			Restrictive guidance (of parents)	Snacks intake	consumed) Curvilinear	guidance constinued more food than those with moderate restrictive guidance
44	Johnson et al	2011	British Journal of Nutrition	Cross-sectional	342	UK parent-child sample	Maternal	Core food intake Non-core food	+	
			Nutrition	survey		of twins aged 11	Modeling	intake	+	
							Paternal Modeling	Core food intake Non-core food	0	
							· ·	intake		
							Availability	Core food intake Non-core food intake	0 +	
							Restrictive	Core food intake	0	
							guidance	Non-core food intake	0	
							Pressure to eat	Core food intake Non-core food	0	
								intake	U	
45	Kiefner- Burmeister et al	2014	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	171	US mothers of children aged 3 to 6	Food as reward, as emotion regulation, and restrictive guidance (measured in reverse)	F&V intake Snack, sweets, and SSBs intake	+	The latent variable of "negative feeding practices" combined these different dimensions of parenting practices

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
46	Koui & Jago	2008	Public Health Nutrition	Cross-sectional survey	167	Greek children aged 10 to 12	Availability	F&V intake	+	
47	Kremers, Brug,	2003	Appetite	Cross-sectional	643	Dutch children aged 16 to	Authoritative	Fruits attitude	+	Analyses based on
	de Vries, & Engels			survey		17	parenting style	Fruits subjective norm	+	ANOVA and evidence of effects are based on mean
	Lingeis							Fruit intention to	+	comparison with children classified under other
								eat Fruits intake	+	parenting styles
							Indulgent	Fruits attitude	+	parenting styles
							parenting style	Trans attitude		
48	Kröller &	2009	International	Cross-sectional	556	German mothers of	Restrictive	Unhealthy food	0	
	Warschburger		Journal of	survey		children aged 1 to 10	guidance	intake		
			Behavioral Nutrition and					Healthy food intake	0	
			Physical Activity				Pressure to eat	Unhealthy food intake	0	
								Healthy food	0	
								intake	o o	
							Food as reward	Unhealthy food intake	+	
								Healthy food	0	
								intake		
							Modeling (as intentional act of	Unhealthy food intake	-	
							eating healthy in front of children)	Healthy food intake	+	
49	Lee & Keller	2012	Journal of the	Cross-sectional	68	US children aged 4 to 6	Pressure to eat	Mac and cheese	-	
			Academy of	design with lab-			(measured	intake		
			Nutrition and	based measure of			outside of lab)	Vegetables intake	+	
			Dietetics	food consumption				Fruits intake Milk intake	0 +	
								SSBs intake	0	
								Chocolate pudding	-	
								intake		
50	Lo et al	2015	PLoS ONE	Cross-sectional	4,553	Hong Kong parents of	Food as reward	High energy-	+	
				survey		children aged 2 to 5		density food	0	
								Fruits intake Vegetables intake	0	
							Encouragement	High energy-	0	
							and rewarding	density food	U	
							with praise	Fruits intake	+	
							r	Vegetables intake	+	
							Restrictive	High energy-	-	
							guidance	density food	0	
								Fruits intake	+	
								Vegetables intake		

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
51	Lopez et al	2012	Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics	Cross-sectional survey	541	US parents of children aged 5 to 8	Restrictive guidance	SSBs intake	0	
52	Loth et al	2016	Appetite	Cross-sectional	2383	US parent-child dyads	Availability and	F&V intake	+	
				survey		with children with a mean	accessibility of	Snacks intake	-	
						age of $14.4 (SD = 2.0)$	healthy food	SSBs intake	-	
							Healthy food	F&V intake	+	
							modeling	Snacks intake	-	
							_	SSBs intake	-	
							Restrictive	F&V intake	+	
							guidance	Snacks intake	+	
							J	SSBs intake	0	
53	McGowan et al	2012	European Journal	Cross-sectional	434	UK primary caregivers of	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
			of Clinical	survey		children aged 2 to 5	· ·	Snacks intake	+	
			Nutrition	·				Non-core drinks	+	
								intake		
							Availability	F&V intake	0	
							•	Snacks intake	+	
								Non-core drinks	0	
								intake		
54	Monge-Rojas et	2010	Appetite	Cross-sectional	133	Costa Rican children aged	Restrictive	Fruits intake	0	
	al		••	survey		14 to 18	guidance	Vegetables intake	0	
				•			J	Fast food intake	-	
55	Østbye et al	2013	International	Cross-sectional	208	US mothers of children	Availability (of	Junk food intake	+	
	,,		Journal of Obesity	study		aged 2 to 5	junk food)	Healthy food	_	
							J ,	intake		
							Modeling (of	Junk food intake	_	
							healthy eating)	Healthy food	+	
)	intake		
56	Nickelson et al	2012	Journal of Nutrition	Cross-sectional	4,049	US children aged 11 to 14	Restrictive	SSBs purchase and	_	
20	1 newson et ui	2012	Education and Behavior	survey	.,019	es eminen aged 11 to 14	guidance	intake		

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
57	McPhie et al	2012	Early Child Development and Care	Longitudinal survey	117	Australian parents with children aged 2 to 4	Restrictive guidance	Fruits intake Vegetables intake Unhealthy food intake	+ 0 0	DVs are collected at second wave of data collection
							Pressure to eat	F&V intake Unhealthy food intake	0	
							Maternal warmth	F&V intake Unhealthy food	0 -	
							Maternal control	intake F&V intake Unhealthy food	0	
							Maternal control	intake	U	
58	Melbye & Hansen	2015	BioMed Research International	Cross-sectional survey	796	Norwegian parent-child dyads with children aged	Active guidance	Vegetables intake SSBs intake	+	
						10 to 12	Availability of healthy food	Vegetables intake SSBs intake	+	
59	Melbye et al	2013	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey (dataset	796	Norwegian parent-child dyads with children aged	Availability of healthy foods	Vegetables intake	+	Self-efficacy mediated the relationship between
				corresponds with previous study)		10 to 12	Restrictive guidance	Vegetables intake	-	restrictive guidance and vegetables intake
							Active guidance	Vegetables intake Vegetables intake	0	D:66 : 1: :4
							Modeling Food as reward	Vegetables intake Vegetables intake	0	Differences in results with Study 60 is a consequence of different analytical procedure used (this study utilized regressions, while Study 60 utilized correlations)
										In another study with the same dataset [60], the same IVs here had no effect on fruits intake.
60	Palfreyman et al	2014	Maternal and Child Nutrition	Cross-sectional survey	484	British mothers with children aged 18 months to 8 years old	Modeling (analyzed as 3 dimensions)	F&V intake Sweet snacks intake	+ 0	Modeling is conceptualized as having 3 dimensions in this study. The effects
								Savory snacks intake	+	listed here assume any one dimension's impact on
								Rice, potatoes, pasta intake	0	consumption behavior as indicative of a significant
								Salads intake Fresh fruit juice intake	+ 0	modeling effect.

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
61	Papaioannou et al	2013	Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior	Cross-sectional survey	667	Predominantly US mothers with children with a mean age of 4.4	Active guidance Restrictive guidance	F&V Intake F&V Intake	0	Indulgent parental feeding style moderated the effect of restrictive guidance on
						(SD = 0.6)	Practical methods (includes reward, food as reward, reward with praise)	F&V Intake	0	fruit and vegetable intake; among indulgent parents, restrictive guidance saw stronger associations with fruits and vegetables intake
							Availability (of healthy food)	F&V Intake	0	
62	Patrick et al	2005	Appetite	Cross-sectional	231	US caregivers of children	Authoritative	Fruits intake	0	
				survey		aged 3 to 5;	feeding style	Vegetables intake	+	
						predominantly women		Dairy intake	+	
							Authoritarian	Fruits intake	0	
							feeding style	Vegetables intake	-	
								Dairy intake	0	
63	Pearson et al	2009	Public Health	Cross-sectional	328	British children aged 12 to	Authoritative	Fruits intake	+	
			Nutrition	study		16	parenting	Unhealthy snacks intake	-	
								Vegetables intake	0	
64	Peters et al	2012	Public Health	Cross-sectional	269	Australian parents with	Parenting styles	F&V intake	0	
			Nutrition	study		children aged 2 to 5		Non-core foods intake	0	
							Pressure to eat	F&V intake	0	
							ressure to car	Non-core foods	0	
							Restrictive	intake F&V intake		
							guidance	Non-core foods	0	
							guidanee	intake	V	
65	Ray et al	2013	Journal of Nutrition	Cross-sectional	805	Finnish parent-child dyads	Restrictive	Nutrient-dense	+	Parental warmth moderates
			Education and	study		with children aged 10 to	guidance	foods intake		the association between
			Behavior			11		Energy-dense	-	restrictive guidance and
							(only 1 out 3	foods intake		nutrient-dense and energy-
							items in their measure is			dense foods intake, such that there are stronger
							indicative of our			associations with nutrient-
							construct;			dense foods intake when
							however, this			parental warmth is high,
							study was added			while there are stronger
							as it is an			associations with energy-
							illustration of a			dense foods when parental
							moderating effect of parenting			warmth is low
							styles)			

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
66	Ray et al	2013	Public Health	Cross-sectional	8,736	European children aged	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	
			Nutrition	study		10 to 12		Vegetables intake	+	
							Accessibility	Fruits intake	+	
								Vegetables intake	+	
67	Reinaerts et al	2007	Appetite	Cross-sectional	1,739	Dutch parents of children	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	
				survey		aged 4 to 12		Vegetables intake	+	
							Accessibility	Fruits intake	0	
							Availability	Fruits intake	+	
								Vegetables intake	0	
68	Rodenburg et al	2014	Public Health	Longitudinal	1,275	Dutch parent-child dyads	Food as reward	Fruits intake	-	Psychological and
			Nutrition	survey		with children aged 9		Snacks intake	+	behavioral control
								SSBs intake	0	moderated the effects of
							Restrictive	Fruits intake	0	food as reward on fruit
							guidance	Snacks intake	-	intake (high = significant
								SSBs intake	-	association), the effects of
							Availability (of	Fruits intake	+	availability (of healthy
							healthy food)	Snacks intake SSBs intake	-	food) on snacking (low = significant association), and
								SSDS Marke		the effects of restrictive guidance on SSBs intake (low = significant association)
69	Rodenburg et al	2012	Appetite	Cross-sectional survey	1,762	Dutch parent-child dyads with children aged 8	Modeling	Fruits intake	+	Psychological and behavioral control moderated the effects of modeling. High control parent-child relationship displayed strongest associations between modeling and child intake
70	Schwartz et al	2015	Childhood Obesity	Longitudinal survey	480	US children aged 11 to 12 at first wave of data collection, predominantly low-income, black, and Latino.	Authoritative parenting	SSBs intake	-	Television in child's room moderates the influence of authoritative parenting on SSBs intake by weakening its association
71	Sdrali et al	2010	International Journal of Consumer Studies	Cross-sectional survey	149	Greek children aged 13 to 16	Availability, accessibility, modeling, injunctive and descriptive norms	SSBs intake	+	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
72	Sleddens et al	2010	Appetite	Cross-sectional	135	Dutch parents of children	Food as reward	Snacks intake	0	
				survey		aged 6 to 7		Fruits intake	0	
								SSBs intake	0	
							Restrictive	Snacks intake	0	
							guidance	Fruits intake	0	
								SSBs intake	0	General parenting styles as measured by the Comprehensive General Parenting Questionnaire moderated the effects of some parenting practices on eating. Manipulating availability and restrictive guidance had stronger effects on children in a positive (less overprotection and controlling) parenting context.
73	Sleddens et al	2014	Appetite	Longitudinal	1654	Dutch parents of children	Food as reward	Snacks intake	0	General parenting styles as measured by the Comprehensive General Parenting Questionnaire moderated the effects of some parenting practices on eating. Manipulating availability and restrictive guidance had stronger effects on children in a positive (less overprotection and controlling) parenting
				survey		aged 6 at first time point,		SSBs intake	0	
						and 8 at second time point		Water intake	0	
								Fruits intake	-	
							Restrictive	Snacks intake	0	
							guidance	SSBs intake	-	
								Water intake	+	
								Fruits intake	0	
							Availability	Snacks intake	-	
							(healthy foods)	SSBs intake	-	
								Water intake	+	
							_	Fruits intake	+	
							Pressure to eat	Snacks intake	+	
								SSBs intake	+	context.
								Water intake	0	
								Fruits intake	0	
74	van Ansem et al	2014	British Journal of	Cross-sectional	1428	Dutch parents of children	Modeling	SSBs intake	+	
			Nutrition	survey		aged 8 to 12	Restrictive	SSBs intake	0	
				-		_	guidance			
							Availability	SSBs intake	+	
75	van Grieken et	2015	Pediatric Obesity	Longitudinal	2047	Dutch parent-child dyads	Restrictive	SSBs intake	-	
	al		•	survey		with children aged 5 at	guidance			
				·		first wave; 7 at second	Availability	SSBs intake	-	
						wave	(healthy foods)			
76	Van Lippevelde	2013	Appetite	Cross-sectional	6512	European parent-child	Active guidance	SSBs intake	0	
	et al		T F	survey		dyads with children with a	3	Juice intake	0	
						mean age of 11.7 ($SD =$	Availability	SSBs intake	+	
						0.8)		Juice intake	+	
						ŕ	Modeling	SSBs intake	+	
								Juice intake	+	
							Food as reward	SSBs intake	0	
								Juice intake	0	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments	
77	Taylor et al		Appetite		175	children with a mean age	Parent-report parenting style	F&V intake Non-core food intake Attitude (veg) Attitude (fruit) Attitude (non-core food)	0 0 0 0 0	Parenting styles did not interact with parenting practices in predicting food consumption-related variables	
			Child-reported responsiveness	F&V intake Non-core food intake Attitude (veg) Attitude (fruit) Attitude (non-core food)	0 0 0 0 +						
							Child-reported demandingness	F&V intake Non-core food intake Attitude (veg) Attitude (fruit) Attitude (non-core food)	0 0 0 0 + 0		
							Restrictive guidance	F&V intake Non-core food intake Attitude (veg) Attitude (fruit) Attitude (non-core	0 0 0 0 0		
							Pressure to eat	food) to eat F&V intake 0 Non-core food 0 intake 0 Attitude (veg) 0 Attitude (fruit) 0 Attitude (non-core 0 food) 0	0 0 0 0		
78	van der Horst et al	Horst et 2007	2007 Health Education Research			383	Dutch children aged 12 to 17	Restrictive guidance and availability (with availability reverse-coded) Modeling	SSBs intake	+	Attitude, self-efficacy, habit strength mediated the relationship between restrictive guidance + availability on SSBs intake Parenting styles moderated the relationship between restrictive guidance +
										availability on SSBs intake; more effective among highly involved, as well as moderately strict parents	

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variable (s)	Evidence of Effect	Moderators/ Mediators/Comments
79	Van Strien et al	2009	A	Cross-sectional	943	Dutch children aged 7 to	Restrictive			Bivariate correlations
79	van Strien et ai	2009	Appetite		943	12	guidance	Snacking Fruits intake	-	showed more significant
				survey		12	Pressure to eat		+	correlations
							Pressure to eat	Snacking	0	correlations
								Fruits intake	0	
80	Vereecken et al	2004	Appetite	Cross-sectional	346	Dutch mothers of children	Restrictive	Fruits intake	0	
			11	survey		aged 2 to 7	guidance	Vegetables intake	0	
							8	SSBs intake	-	
								Sweets intake	_	
							Active guidance	Fruits intake	0	
							7 tetive guidance	Vegetables intake	0	
								SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Pressure to eat	Fruits intake	0	
							r ressure to eat	Vegetables intake	Ö	
								SSBs intake		
								Sweets intake	0 0 0 0	
							Reward	Fruits intake		
							10 mara	Vegetables intake	-	
								SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	+	
							Reward with	Fruits intake	0	
							praise	Vegetables intake	+	
							Piaise	SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Avoid negative	Fruits intake	0	
							modeling	Vegetables intake	0	
							modeling	SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Modeling	Fruits intake	+	
								Vegetables intake	+	
								SSBs intake	+	
								Sweets intake	+	
								5 weeks make	,	
81	Wardle et al	2005	Journal of the	Cross-sectional	564	British parents of children	Pressure to eat	F&V intake	-	
			American Dietetic	survey		aged 2 to 6	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
			Association	•						

82 Ve	erecken et al	2010	Public Health Nutrition	Longitudinal study	609	Belgian mother-child dyads with children aged 9 to 11 at first wave	Restrictive guidance Pressure to eat Reward	F&V intake SSBs and snacks intake F&V intake SSBs and snacks intake F&V intake	0 - 0 0	Bivariate correlations showed more significant correlations
			Nutrition	study			Pressure to eat	intake F&V intake SSBs and snacks intake	0	
						9 to 11 at first wave		F&V intake SSBs and snacks intake	0	correlations
								SSBs and snacks intake	0	
							Reward	intake		
							Reward			
							Reward	TO Part into Iro		
									0	
								SSBs and snacks intake	0	
							Reward with	F&V intake	0	
							praise	SSBs and snacks	0	
							F	intake		
							Availability (of	F&V intake	_	
							unhealthy food)	SSBs and snacks	+	
							unicatiny 100a)	intake		
							Avoid negative	F&V intake	0	
							modeling	SSBs and snacks		
								intake	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
							Modeling	F&V intake		
								SSBs and snacks		
								intake		
83 Ve	ereecken et al	2009	American Journal	Cross-sectional	1614	Belgian parent-child	Restrictive	Fruits intake	+	
			of Health	study		dyads with children aged	guidance	Vegetables intake	+ + - - - 0	
			Promotion	3		11 to 12	8	SSBs intake		
								Sweets intake	_	
							Pressure to eat	Fruits intake	_	
							Vegetables intake	0		
								SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	+	
							Reward	Fruits intake	0	
								Vegetables intake	0	
								SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Reward with	Fruits intake	0	
							praise	Vegetables intake	0	
							•	SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Avoid negative	Fruits intake	0	
							modeling	Vegetables intake	0	
							-	SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
							Parenting styles	Fruits intake	0	
								Vegetables intake	0	
								SSBs intake	0	
								Sweets intake	0	
84 Ve	ereecken et al	2010	Appetite	Cross-sectional	755	Belgian parents of	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
				study		children with a mean age	Parenting styles	F&V intake	0	
						of 3.5	(laxness, support,			
							overreactivity)			

Study	Author(s)	Year	Outlet	Design	N	Participants	Independent	Dependent	Evidence of	Moderators/
							Variable(s)	Variable (s)	Effect	Mediators/Comments
85	Wyse et al	2011	BMC Public Health	Cross-sectional	396	Australian parents of	Modeling	F&V intake	+	
				survey		children aged 3 to 5	Pressure to eat	F&V intake	0	
							Availability	F&V intake	+	
							Accessibility	F&V intake	+	
							Food as reward	F&V intake	0	
							Restrictive guidance	F&V intake	0	
86	Xu et al	2013	Appetite	Cross-sectional	242	Australian mother with	Parental warmth	Fruits intake	0	
			11	survey		children aged 2		Vegetables intake	+	
				•		<u> </u>		SSBs intake	0	
								Snacks intake	0	
							Parental hostility	Fruits intake	-	
								Vegetables intake	0	
								SSBs intake	+	
								Snacks intake	+	
87	Young et al	2004	Journal of Nutrition Education and	Cross sectional survey	366	US children aged 12 to 16	Authoritative parenting	F&V intake	0	Self-efficacy partially mediated the effect of
			Behavior	·			Restrictive guidance	F&V intake	0	modeling on F&V intake
							Modeling	F&V intake	+	Availability moderated the
							Availability	F&V intake	+	effect of modeling on F&V intake such that the effect of modeling is stronger when there is higher availability of F&V
88	Zahra et al	2014	Chid: Care, Health and Development	Cross-sectional survey	10,645	British children aged 12 to 16	Authoritative parenting	Junk food intake	-	availability of Fee

References

- 1. Alsharairi NA, Somerset SM. Associations between parenting styles and children's fruit and vegetable intake. Ecol. Food Nutr. [Internet]. 2015;54:93–113. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000347520800007
- 2. Amuta AO, Jacobs W, Idoko EE, Barry AE, McKyer ELJ. Influence of the home food environment on children's fruit and vegetable consumption: A study of rural low-income families. Health Promot. Pract. [Internet]. 2015;16:689–98. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000359922200008
- 3. Arredondo EM, Elder JP, Ayala GX, Campbell N, Baquero B, Duerksen S. Is parenting style related to children's healthy eating and physical activity in Latino families? Health Educ. Res. [Internet]. 2006;21:862–71. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000242473500012
- 4. Bante H, Elliott M, Harrod A, Haire-Joshu D. The use of inappropriate feeding practices by rural parents and their effect on preschoolers' fruit and vegetable preferences and intake. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. Elsevier. 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887-4800.; 2008;40:28–33. Available from:

http://search.proquest.com/docview/61965345?accountid=12665

- 5. Berge JM, Wall M, Loth KA, Neumark-Sztainer D. Parenting style as a predictor of adolescent weight and weight-related behaviors. J. Adolesc. Heal. [Internet]. 2010;46:331–8. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000275784700005
- 6. Boots SB, Tiggemann M, Corsini N, Mattiske J. Managing young children's snack food intake. The role of parenting style and feeding strategies. Appetite [Internet]. 2015;92:94–101. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000358269100014
- 7. Brown KA, Ogden J, Vögele C, Gibson EL. The role of parental control practices in explaining children's diet and BMI. Appetite [Internet]. Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 2008;50:252–9. Available from:

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2008-02994-009&site=ehost-live

- 8. Brown R, Ogden J. Children's eating attitudes and behaviour: A study of the modelling and control theories of parental influence. Health Educ. Res. [Internet]. Oxford University Press. Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP, UK. Tel: +44-1865-353907; Fax: +44-1865-353485; e-mail: jnls.cust.serv@oxfordjournals.org; Web site: http://her.oxfordjournals.org/; 2004;19:261-71. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1011398496?accountid=12665
- 9. Brug J, Tak NI, Velde SJ te, Bere E, de Bourdeaudhuij I. Taste preferences, liking and other factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes among schoolchildren: Results from observational studies. Br. J. Nutr. [Internet]. 2008;99:S7–14. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000253679700003
- 10. Campbell KJ, Crawford DA, Ball K. Family food environment and dietary behaviors likely to promote fatness in 5-6 year-old children. Int. J. Obes. 2006/02/24 ed. 2006;30:1272–80.
- 11. Cooke LJ, Chambers LC, Anez E V, Croker HA, Boniface D, Yeomans MR, et al. Eating for pleasure or profit: The effect of incentives on children's enjoyment of vegetables. Psychol. Sci. 2010/12/31 ed. 2011;22:190–6.

- 12. Cooke LJ, Wardle J, Gibson EL, Sapochnik M, Sheiham A, Lawson M. Demographic, familial and trait predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption by pre-school children. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2004;7:295–302. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000220567200008
- 13. Birch LL, Marlin DW, Rotter J. Eating as the "means" activity in a contingency: Effects on young children's food preference. Child Dev. [Internet]. 1984;55:431. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1129954?origin=crossref
- 14. Birch LL, Zimmerman SI, Hind H. The influence of social-affective context on the formation of children's food preferences. Child Dev. 1980;51:856–61.
- 15. Campbell KJ, Crawford DA, Salmon J, Carver A, Garnett SP, Baur LA. Associations between the home food environment and obesity-promoting eating behaviors in adolescence. Obes. (Silver Spring). 2007/03/21 ed. 2007;15:719–30.
- 16. Corsini N, Slater A, Harrison A, Cooke L, Cox DN. Rewards can be used effectively with repeated exposure to increase liking of vegetables in 4-6-year-old children. Public Health Nutr. 2011/09/09 ed. 2013;16:942–51.
- 17. Cutler GJ, Flood A, Hannan P, Neumark-Sztainer D. Multiple sociodemographic and socioenvironmental characteristics are correlated with major patterns of dietary intake in adolescents. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 2011/01/29 ed. 2011;111:230–40.
- 18. Couch SC, Glanz K, Zhou C, Sallis JF, Saelens BE. Home food environment in relation to children's diet quality and weight status. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. [Internet]. 2014;114:1569–79. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000342610700009
- 19. Cullen KW, Baranowski T, Rittenberry L, Cosart C, Hebert D, de Moor C. Child-reported family and peer influences on fruit, juice and vegetable consumption: Reliability and validity of measures. Health Educ. Res. [Internet]. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2001;16:187–200. Available from:
- http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2001-17128-006&site=ehost-live
- 20. De Bourdeaudhuij I, Klepp K-I, Due P, Rodrigo CP, de Almeida M, Wind M, et al. Reliability and validity of a questionnaire to measure personal, social and environmental correlates of fruit and vegetable intake in 10–11-year-old children in five European countries. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2005;8. Available from: http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S1368980005000261
- 21. De Bourdeaudhuij I, te Velde SJ, Maes L, Perez-Rodrigo C, de Almeida MD V, Brug J. General parenting styles are not strongly associated with fruit and vegetable intake and social-environmental correlates among 11-year-old children in four countries in Europe. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2009;12:259–66. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000263226700017
- 22. De Bourdeaudhuij I, te Velde S, Brug J, Due P, Wind M, Sandvik C, et al. Personal, social and environmental predictors of daily fruit and vegetable intake in 11-year-old children in nine European countries. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. [Internet]. 2007/05/25 ed. 2008;62:834–41. Available from:
- http://www.nature.com.ezproxy.library.wur.nl/ejcn/journal/v62/n7/abs/1602794a.html
- 23. de Bruijn GJ, Kremers SPJ, de Vries H, van Mechelen W, Brug J. Associations of social-environmental and individual-level factors with adolescent soft drink consumption: Results

- from the SMILE study. Heal. Educ. Res. Res. 2006/08/02 ed. 2007;22:227–37.
- 24. Dickens E, Ogden J. The role of parental control and modelling in predicting a child's diet and relationship with food after they leave home. A prospective study. Appetite. 2014/02/01 ed. 2014;76:23–9.
- 25. Durao C, Andreozzi V, Oliveira A, Moreira P, Guerra A, Barros H, et al. Maternal child-feeding practices and dietary inadequacy of 4-year-old children. Appetite [Internet]. 2015;92:15–23. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000358269100003
- 26. Eisenberg CM, Ayala GX, Crespo NC, Lopez N V, Zive MM, Corder K, et al. Examining multiple parenting behaviors on young children's dietary fat consumption. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. 2012;44:302–9. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000306066000005
- 27. Elfhag K, Tholin S, Rasmussen F. Consumption of fruit, vegetables, sweets and soft drinks are associated with psychological dimensions of eating behaviour in parents and their 12-year-old children. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2008;11:914–23. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18498675
- 28. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Parents' restrictive feeding practices are associated with young girls' negative self-evaluation of eating. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 2000/12/05 ed. 2000;100:1341–6.
- 29. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Restricting access to foods and children's eating. Appetite. 1999/05/25 ed. 1999;32:405–19.
- 30. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Restricting access to a palatable food affects children's behavioral response, food selection and intake. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1999;69:1264–72.
- 31. Fisher JO, Mitchell DC, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL. Parental influences on young girls' fruit and vegetable, micronutrient, and fat intakes. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. Department of Pediatrics, US Department of Agriculture Children's Nutrition Research Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA. SRC Pubmed ID2 11794503 FG 0; 2002;102:58–64.
- 32. Gevers DWM, van Assema P, Sleddens EFC, de Vries NK, Kremers SPJ. Associations between general parenting, restrictive snacking rules, and adolescent's snack intake. The roles of fathers and mothers and interparental congruence. Appetite [Internet]. 2015;87:184–91. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000350517800023
- 33. Gibson EL, Wardle J, Watts CJ. Fruit and vegetable consumption, nutritional knowledge and beliefs in mothers and children. Appetite. 1998/10/30 ed. 1998;31:205–28.
- 34. Entin A, Kaufman-Shriqui V, Naggan L, Vardi H, Shahar DR. Parental feeding practices in relation to low diet quality and obesity among LSES children. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. [Internet]. 2014;33:306–14. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000342139900007
- 35. Goldman RL, Radnitz CL, McGrath RE. The role of family variables in fruit and vegetable consumption in pre-school children. J. Public health Res. 2012/06/15 ed. 2012;1:143–8.
- 36. Gross SM, Pollock ED, Braun B. Family influence: Key to fruit and vegetable consumption among fourth- and fifth-grade students. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. 2010/05/11 ed. 2010;42:235–41.
- 37. Gubbels JS, Kremers SPJ, Stafleu A, Dagnelie PC, Goldbohm RA, de Vries NK, et al. Diet-related restrictive parenting practices. Impact on dietary intake of 2-year-old children

- and interactions with child characteristics. Appetite [Internet]. 2009;52:423–9. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000264632600022
- 38. Gregory JE, Paxton SJ, Brozovic AM. Maternal feeding practices, child eating behaviour and body mass index in preschool-aged children: a prospective analysis. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. [Internet]. 2010;7. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000280403900001
- 39. Harris TS, Ramsey M. Paternal modeling, household availability, and paternal intake as predictors of fruit, vegetable, and sweetened beverage consumption among African American children. Appetite [Internet]. 2015;85:171–7. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000347867000024
- 40. Hendy HM, Williams KE, Camise TS, Eckman N, Hedemann A. The Parent Mealtime Action Scale (PMAS). Development and association with children's diet and weight. Appetite [Internet]. 2009;52:328–39. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000264632600010
- 41. Hennessy E, Hughes SO, Goldberg JP, Hyatt RR, Economos CD. Parent behavior and child weight status among a diverse group of underserved rural families. Appetite [Internet]. 2010;54:369–77. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000276005100018
- 42. Hoerr SL, Hughes SO, Fisher JO, Nicklas TA, Liu Y, Shewchuk RM. Associations among parental feeding styles and children's food intake in families with limited incomes. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. [Internet]. 2009;6. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000270481700001
- 43. Jansen E, Mulkens S, Jansen A. Do not eat the red food!: Prohibition of snacks leads to their relatively higher consumption in children. Appetite. 2007;49:572–7.
- 44. Johnson L, van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Individual and family environment correlates differ for consumption of core and non-core foods in children. Br. J. Nutr. [Internet]. 2011;105:950–9. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000288131000017
- 45. Kiefner-Burmeister AE, Hoffmann DA, Meers MR, Koball AM, Musher-Eizenman DR. Food consumption by young children: A function of parental feeding goals and practices. Appetite [Internet]. 2014;74:6–11. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000331481800002
- 46. Koui E, Jago R. Associations between self-reported fruit and vegetable consumption and home availability of fruit and vegetables among Greek primary-school children. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2008;11:1142–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18167168
- 47. Kremers SPJ, Brug J, de Vries H, Engels RCME. Parenting style and adolescent fruit consumption. Appetite [Internet]. Department of Health Education and Health Promotion, Universiteit Maastricht, PO Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. s.kremers@gvo.unimaas.nl SRC Pubmed ID2 12880620 FG 0; 2003;41:43–50. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&DbFrom=pubmed&Cmd=Link&LinkName=pubmed_pubmed&LinkReadableName=Related Articles&IdsFromResult=12880620&ordinalpos=3&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.P

ubmed ResultsPanel.Pubmed RVDocSum

48. Kröller K, Warschburger P. Maternal feeding strategies and child's food intake: Considering weight and demographic influences using structural equation modeling. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2009/11/26 ed. 2009;6:78.

- 49. Lee H, Keller KL. Children who are pressured to eat at home consume fewer high-fat foods in laboratory test meals. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. 2012/06/27 ed. 2012;112:271–5.
- 50. Lo K, Cheung C, Lee A, Tam WW, Keung V. Associations between parental feeding styles and childhood eating habits: A survey of Hong Kong pre-school children. PLoS One. 2015/05/01 ed. 2015;10:e0124753.
- 51. Lopez N V, Ayala GX, Corder K, Eisenberg CM, Zive MM, Wood C, et al. Parent support and parent-mediated behaviors are associated with children's sugary beverage consumption. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. [Internet]. 2012;112:541–7. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000303175900012
- 52. Loth KA, MacLehose RF, Larson N, Berge JM, Neumark-Sztainer D. Food availability, modeling and restriction: How are these different aspects of the family eating environment related to adolescent dietary intake? Appetite. 2015/09/04 ed. 2016;96:80–6.
- 53. McGowan L, Croker H, Wardle J, Cooke LJ. Environmental and individual determinants of core and non-core food and drink intake in preschool-aged children in the United Kingdom. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2012/01/19 ed. 2012;66:322–8.
- 54. Monge-Rojas R, Smith-Castro V, Colon-Ramos U, Garita-Arce C, Sánchez-López M, Chinnock A. Parental feeding styles and adolescents' healthy eating habits. Structure and correlates of a Costa Rican questionnaire. Appetite [Internet]. Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 2010:55:253–62. Available from:
- http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2010-15051-001&site=ehost-live
- 55. Østbye T, Krause KM, Stroo M, Lovelady CA, Evenson KR, Peterson BL, et al. Parent-focused change to prevent obesity in preschoolers: Results from the KAN-DO study. Prev. Med. An Int. J. Devoted to Pract. Theory [Internet]. Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 2012;55:188–95. Available from:
- http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2012-24714-008&site=ehost-live
- 56. Nickelson J, Roseman MG, Forthofer MS. Associations between parental limits, school vending machine purchases, and soft drink consumption among Kentucky middle school students. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. Elsevier. 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887-4800.; 2010;42:115–22. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/61799816?accountid=12665
- 57. McPhie S, Skouteris H, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M, McCabe M, Ricciardelli LA, Milgrom J, et al. Maternal predictors of preschool child-eating behaviours, food intake and body mass index: A prospective study. Early Child Dev. Care [Internet]. Routledge., 325 Chestnut Street Suite 800, Philadelphia, PA 19106.; 2012;182:999–1014. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1314319147?accountid=12665
- 58. Melbye EL, Hansen H. Promotion and prevention focused feeding strategies: Exploring the effects on healthy and unhealthy child eating. Biomed Res. Int. [Internet]. 2015; Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000360722800001
- 59. Melbye EL, Øgaard T, Øverby NC. Associations between parental feeding practices and child vegetable consumption. Mediation by child cognitions? Appetite [Internet]. Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 2013;69:23–30. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2013-26519-

- 60. Melbye EL, Overby NC, Ogaard T. Child consumption of fruit and vegetables: The roles of child cognitions and parental feeding practices. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2012;15:1047–55. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000304742200014
- 61. Palfreyman Z, Haycraft E, Meyer C. Development of the Parental Modelling of Eating Behaviours Scale (PARM): links with food intake among children and their mothers. Matern. Child Nutr. [Internet]. 2014;10:617–29. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000342749700013
- 62. Papaioannou MA, Cross MB, Power TG, Liu Y, Qu H, Shewchuk RM, et al. Feeding style differences in food parenting practices sssociated with fruit and vegetable intake in children from low-income families. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. 2013;45:643–51. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000326596800022
- 63. Patrick H, Nicklas TA, Hughes SO, Morales M. The benefits of authoritative feeding style: caregiver feeding styles and children's food consumption patterns. Appetite [Internet]. 2005;44:243–9. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0195666304001448
- 64. Pearson N, Atkin AJ, Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Edwardson C. Parenting styles, family structure and adolescent dietary behaviour. Public Heal. Nutr. 2009/12/04 ed. 2010;13:1245–53.
- 65. Peters J, Sinn N, Campbell K, Lynch J. Parental influences on the diets of 2–5-year-old children: Systematic review of interventions. Early Child Dev. Care [Internet]. United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis; 2012;182:837–57. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2012-16686-003&site=ehost-live
- 66. Ray C, Kalland M, Lehto R, Roos E. Does parental warmth and responsiveness moderate the associations between parenting practices and children's health-related behaviors? J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. 2013;45:602–10. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000326596800017
- 67. Ray C, Roos E, Brug J, Behrendt I, Ehrenblad B, Yngve A, et al. Role of free school lunch in the associations between family-environmental factors and children's fruit and vegetable intake in four European countries. Public Health Nutr. 2012/09/15 ed. 2013;16:1109–17.
- 68. Reinaerts E, de Nooijer J, Candel M, de Vries NK. Explaining school children's fruit and vegetable consumption: The contributions of availability, accessibility, exposure, parental consumption and habit in addition to psychosocial factors. Appetite. 2006/11/18 ed. 2007;48:248–58.
- 69. Rodenburg G, Kremers SPJ, Oenema A, van de Mheen D. Associations of parental feeding styles with child snacking behaviour and weight in the context of general parenting. Public Health Nutr. 2013/03/27 ed. 2014;17:960–9.
- 70. Rodenburg G, Oenema A, Kremers SPJ, van de Mheen D. Parental and child fruit consumption in the context of general parenting, parental education and ethnic background. Appetite. 2011/11/19 ed. 2012;58:364–72.
- 71. Schwartz MB, Gilstad-Hayden K, Henderson KE, Luedicke J, Carroll-Scott A, Peters

- SM, et al. The relationship between parental behaviors and children's sugary drink consumption is moderated by a television in the child's bedroom. Child. Obes. [Internet]. 2015;11:560–8. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000362269500010
- 72. Sdrali D, Anisiadou M, Goussia-Rizou M, Costarelli V. Adolescents' soft drinks consumption in family environment: A case study in Northern Greece. Int. J. Consum. Stud. [Internet]. 2010;34:684–90. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000282872000008
- 73. Sleddens EFC, Kremers SPJ, de Vries NK, Thijs C. Relationship between parental feeding styles and eating behaviours of Dutch children aged 6-7. Appetite. 2009/09/15 ed. 2010;54:30–6.
- 74. Sleddens EFC, Kremers SPJ, Stafleu A, Dagnelie PC, de Vries NK, Thijs C. Food parenting practices and child dietary behavior. Prospective relations and the moderating role of general parenting. Appetite. 2014/04/15 ed. 2014;79:42–50.
- 75. van Ansem WJC, van Lenthe FJ, Schrijvers CTM, Rodenburg G, van de Mheen D. Socio-economic inequalities in children's snack consumption and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption: The contribution of home environmental factors. Br. J. Nutr. [Internet]. 2014;112:467–76. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000339054100019
- 76. van Grieken A, Renders CM, van de Gaar VM, Hirasing RA, Raat H. Associations between the home environment and children's sweet beverage consumption at 2-year follow-up: the "Be active, eat right" study. Pediatr. Obes. [Internet]. 2015;10:126–33. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000351432400008
- 77. Van Lippevelde W, te Velde SJ, Verloigne M, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Manios Y, Bere E, et al. Associations between home- and family-related factors and fruit juice and soft drink intake among 10- to 12-year old children. The ENERGY project. Appetite. 2012/11/17 ed. 2013;61:59–65.
- 78. Taylor A, Wilson C, Slater A, Mohr P. Parent- and child-reported parenting. Associations with child weight-related outcomes. Appetite [Internet]. 2011;57:700–6. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000298128200020
- 79. van der Horst K, Kremers SPJ, Ferreira I, Singh A, Oenema A, Brug J. Perceived parenting style and practices and the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages by adolescents. Health Educ. Res. [Internet]. 2007;22:295–304. Available from: http://www.her.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/her/cyl080
- 80. Van Strien T, van Niekerk R, Ouwens MA. Perceived parental food controlling practices are related to obesogenic or leptogenic child life style behaviors. Appetite [Internet]. 2009;53:151–4. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000268604500024
- 81. Vereecken CA, Keukelier E, Maes L. Influence of mother's educational level on food parenting practices and food habits of young children. Appetite [Internet]. 2004;43:93–103. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000223270500013
- 82. Wardle J, Carnell S, Cooke L. Parental control over feeding and children's fruit and vegetable intake: how are they related? J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 2005/01/26 ed. 2005;105:227–32.
- 83. Vereecken CA, Haerens L, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Maes L. The relationship between children's home food environment and dietary patterns in childhood and adolescence. Public Health Nutr. [Internet]. 2010;13:1729–35. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000284046000010

- 84. Vereecken CA, Legiest E, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Maes L. Associations between general parenting styles and specific food-related parenting practices and children's food consumption. Am. J. Heal. Promot. [Internet]. 2009;23:233–40. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000264217700003
- 85. Vereecken CA, Rovner A, Maes L. Associations of parenting styles, parental feeding practices and child characteristics with young children's fruit and vegetable consumption. Appetite [Internet]. 2010;55:589–96. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000285988900031
- 86. Wyse R, Campbell E, Nathan N, Wolfenden L. Associations between characteristics of the home food environment and fruit and vegetable intake in preschool children: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2011/12/20 ed. 2011;11:938.
- 87. Xu H, Wen LM, Rissel C, Flood VM, Baur LA. Parenting style and dietary behaviour of young children. Findings from the Healthy Beginnings Trial. Appetite [Internet]. 2013;71:171–7. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000328868500022
- 88. Young EM, Fors SW, Hayes DM. Associations between perceived parent behaviors and middle school student fruit and vegetable consumption. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. [Internet]. 2004;36:2–12. Available from: <Go to ISI>://WOS:000188662800003
- 89. Zahra J, Ford T, Jodrell D. Cross sectional survey of daily junk food consumption, irregular eating, mental and physical health and parenting style of British secondary school children. Child Care Heal. Dev [Internet]. United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd.; 2014;40:481–91. Available from:
- http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2014-23768-004&site=ehost-live